



On Positive Solution of a New Class of Nonlocal Fractional Equation with Integral Boundary Conditions

Noora Laith Housen

Department of Mathematics / College of Education for pure Science
University of Mosul / Mosul – Iraq
nooralait1984@uomosul.edu.iq

Article information

Article history:

Received : 21/6/2021
Accepted : 30/8/2021
Available online :

Abstract

Abstract— We prove that a positive solution to a given boundary problem exists and is unique. This new boundary condition relates the non-local unknown value of unknown function at λ with its influence due to a sup-strip $(\mu, 1)$, $0 < \lambda < \mu < 1$. Our results are obtained by using "Banach and Krasnoselskii's theorems" linked to anywhere. Some classical theorems of fixed points assistance to achieve the greatest results.

Keywords:

"Fractional differential equations"; "Positive solution"; "Nonlocal boundary conditions"; "Fixed point theorems".

Correspondence:

Author : N. L. Housen
Email: nooralait1984@uomosul.edu.iq

I. INTRODUCTION

For various areas of science and engineering, fractional order differential equations have been employed, such as "physics, mechanics, economy, and biological science," etc... see [5,6,13].

The existence of positive solutions nonlinear fractional order differential equations with multipoint integral boundary conditions has been studied by several authors using different methods (see [2,8,10,12] Bashir Ahmad et al. [3] investigated the presence and singularity of three pin integral frontier fractional difference border value solutions of order $q \in (1,2)$

$${}^c D^\zeta W(\varphi) = \Omega(\varphi, W(\varphi)), \quad 0 < \varphi < 1, \quad 1 < \zeta \leq 2$$

$$W(0) = 0, \quad W(1) = a \int_0^\eta W(\tilde{\ell}) d\tilde{\ell}, \quad 0 < \eta < 1$$

In paper [7] the authors discussed a problem with the limit value with similar generalized conditions given by

$${}^c D^\zeta W(\varphi) = \Omega(\varphi, W(\varphi)), \quad 0 \leq \varphi \leq 1, \quad 1 < \zeta \leq 2$$

$$W(0) = 0, \quad W(\xi) = a \int_\eta^1 W(\tilde{\ell}) d\tilde{\ell}, \quad \xi \in (0,1)$$

Where Ω is a given function, a is positive constant. The aim of this study is to determine whether positive solutions exist and uniqueness for the following boundary problem

$${}^c D^\kappa \theta(\varphi) = \Omega(\varphi, \theta(\varphi)), \quad 2 < \kappa \leq 3, \quad \varphi \in [0,1]$$

$$\theta(0) = \theta'(0) = 0, \quad \theta(\lambda) = \beta \int_\mu^1 \theta(\tilde{\ell}) d\tilde{\ell} \quad \dots (1.1)$$

Where ${}^c D^\kappa$ the symbolizes: "Caputo fractional derivative" of order k , $\Omega: [0,1] \times \Psi_b \rightarrow \Psi_b$ is an ongoing function, and $\beta \in \mathfrak{R}^+$ and $\lambda < \mu < 1$. Here $(\Psi_b, \|\cdot\|)$ is a "Banach space" and $C = C([0,1], \Psi_b)$ is the "Banach space" of all continuous function from $[0,1] \rightarrow \Psi_b$ with norm $\|\theta\| = \sup \{|\theta(\varphi)|, \varphi \in [0,1]\}$

2. Preliminaries

Let's establish some basic fractional calculus definitions [4,1].

Definition 2.1 :For a continuous function $h : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ the derivative of fractional order ν is defined as

$${}^c D^\nu h(\varphi) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\tilde{n} - \hat{\nu})} \int_0^{\varphi} (\varphi - \tilde{\ell})^{\tilde{n} - \hat{\nu} - 1} h^{(\tilde{n})}(\tilde{\ell}) d\tilde{\ell}, \tilde{n} - 1 < \hat{\nu} < n \dots (2.1)$$

$\tilde{n} = [\hat{\nu}] + 1$ and ${}^c D^\nu$ denotes the Caputo derivative.

Definition 2.2 : The fractional integral of order ς is define as

$$I^\varsigma \tilde{\lambda}(\varphi) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varsigma)} \int_0^{\varphi} \frac{\tilde{\lambda}(\ell)}{(\varphi - \tilde{\ell})^{1-\varsigma}} d\tilde{\ell}, \varsigma > 0 \dots (2.2)$$

Which is called Riemann- Liouville integral, where there is an integral exists.

Definition 2.3 : The derivative p "Riemann-Liouville" for continuous function $\hat{g}(\varphi)$ is given by

$$D^p \hat{g}(\varphi) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\tilde{n} - p)} \left(\frac{d}{d\varphi} \right)^{\tilde{n}} \int_0^{\varphi} (\varphi - \tilde{\ell})^{\tilde{n} - p - 1} \hat{g}(\tilde{\ell}) d\tilde{\ell} \dots (2.3)$$

If point specified on the right side on $(0, \infty)$, $\tilde{n} = [p] + 1$.

These definitions sings to the nonlocal fractional derivative which is different from the local fractional derivative which is defined in [11].

Lemma 2.4 : (see [9]) The overall answer for the equation

${}^c D^\delta \theta(\varphi) = 0$ is provided by

$$\theta(\varphi) = c_1 \varphi^{\delta-1} + c_2 \varphi^{\delta-2} + \dots + c_N \varphi^{\delta-N} \dots (2.4)$$

Where $c_i \in \mathfrak{R}$, $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N - 1$ ($N = [\delta] + 1$) where N is smallest integer grater than or equal to δ ($\delta > 0$).

Lemma 2.5 : A unique solution of the boundary problem (1.1) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(\varphi) = & \frac{1}{\Gamma(\kappa)} \int_0^{\varphi} (\varphi - \tau)^{\kappa-1} \Omega(\tau, \theta(\tau)) d\tau \\ & - \frac{\gamma \varphi^{\kappa-1}}{\Gamma(\kappa)} \int_0^{\lambda} (\lambda - \tau)^{\kappa-1} \Omega(\tau, \theta(\tau)) d\tau \\ & + \frac{\beta \gamma \varphi^{\kappa-1}}{\Gamma(\kappa)} \int_{\mu}^1 \left(\int_0^{\tau} (\tau - \tilde{n})^{\kappa-1} \Omega(\tilde{n}, \theta(\tilde{n})) d\tilde{n} \right) d\tau \dots (2.5) \end{aligned}$$

Proof : For certain constants $c_1, c_2, c_3 \in \mathfrak{R}$ We've get:

$$\theta(\varphi) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\kappa)} \int_0^{\varphi} (\varphi - \tau)^{\kappa-1} \Omega(\tau) d\tau + c_1 \varphi^{\kappa-1} + c_2 \varphi^{\kappa-2} + c_3 \varphi^{\kappa-3} \dots (2.6)$$

From $\theta(0) = \theta'(0) = 0$, we have $c_2 = c_3 = 0$

By applying the second condition to (1.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \beta \int_{\mu}^1 \theta(\tau) d\tau = & \beta \int_{\mu}^1 \left(\int_0^{\tau} \frac{(\tau - \tilde{n})^{\kappa-1}}{\Gamma(\kappa)} \Omega(\tilde{n}) d\tilde{n} \right) d\tau \\ = & \beta \int_{\mu}^1 \left(\int_0^{\tau} \frac{(\tau - \tilde{n})^{\kappa-1}}{\Gamma(\kappa)} \Omega(\tilde{n}) d\tilde{n} \right) d\tau + \beta c_1 \frac{1 - \mu^{\kappa}}{\kappa} \dots (2.7) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\theta(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\kappa)} \int_0^{\lambda} (\lambda - \tau)^{\kappa-1} \Omega(\tau) d\tau + c_1 \lambda^{\kappa-1}$$

which imply that

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 = & \frac{-\gamma}{\Gamma(\kappa)} \int_0^{\lambda} (\lambda - \tau)^{\kappa-1} \Omega(\tau) d\tau \\ & + \frac{\beta \gamma}{\Gamma(\kappa)} \int_{\mu}^1 \left(\int_0^{\tau} (\tau - \tilde{n})^{\kappa-1} \Omega(\tilde{n}) d\tilde{n} \right) d\tau \dots (2.8) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\gamma = [\lambda^{\kappa-1} - \frac{\beta}{\kappa} (1 - \mu^{\kappa})]^{-1}$$

Replacing the values of

c_1, c_2 and c_3 in (2.6) we have obtained the solution (2.5), the proof is complete.

In view of lemma 2.5, An operator $\aleph : \wp \rightarrow \wp$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (\aleph \theta)(\varphi) = & \frac{1}{\Gamma(\kappa)} \int_0^{\varphi} (\varphi - \tau)^{\kappa-1} \Omega(\tau, \theta(\tau)) d\tau \\ & - \frac{\gamma \varphi^{\kappa-1}}{\Gamma(\kappa)} \int_0^{\lambda} (\lambda - \tau)^{\kappa-1} \Omega(\tau, \theta(\tau)) d\tau \\ & + \frac{\beta \gamma \varphi^{\kappa-1}}{\Gamma(\kappa)} \int_{\mu}^1 \left(\int_0^{\tau} (\tau - \tilde{n})^{\kappa-1} \Omega(\tilde{n}, \theta(\tilde{n})) d\tilde{n} \right) d\tau \dots (2.9) \end{aligned}$$

3- Existence Results in a "Banach space"

Theorem 3.1 : Let $\Omega : [0, 1] \times \Psi_b \rightarrow \Psi_b$ be a continuous function and assume that

$$(Z1) \|\Omega(\varphi, \theta) - \Omega(\varphi, \vartheta)\| \leq L \|\theta - \vartheta\|,$$

$$\forall \varphi \in [0, 1], L > 0, \theta, \vartheta \in \Psi_b.$$

with $L < \frac{1}{\Delta}$, where Δ is givenby

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\kappa+1)} \left(1 + \frac{|\gamma| [\lambda^{\kappa} (\kappa+1) + |\beta| (1 - \mu^{\kappa+1})]}{\kappa+1} \right) \dots (3.1)$$

Then the limit value of the problem (1.1) has a unique solution.

Proof : Let $\sup_{\varphi \in [0, 1]} |\Omega(\varphi, 0)| = H$ and choosing

$z \geq \Delta H (1 - L\Delta)^{-1}$, we show that $\aleph B_z \subset B_z$ where

$B_z = \{\theta \in \wp : \|\theta\| \leq z\}$, for $\theta \in B_z$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\mathfrak{N}\theta)(\varphi)\| &\leq \left\{ \int_0^{\varphi} \frac{(\varphi-\tau)^{\kappa-1}}{\Gamma(\kappa)} |\Omega(\tau, \theta(\tau)) - \Omega(\tau, 0) + \Omega(\tau, 0)| d\tau \right. \\ &+ |\gamma| \varphi^{\kappa-1} \int_0^{\lambda} \frac{(\lambda-\tau)^{\kappa-1}}{\Gamma(\kappa)} |\Omega(\tau, \theta(\tau)) - \Omega(\tau, 0) + \Omega(\tau, 0)| d\tau \\ &+ \left. |\gamma| \beta \varphi^{\kappa-1} \int_{\mu}^1 \left(\int_0^{\tau} \frac{(\tau-\tilde{n})^{\kappa-1}}{\Gamma(\kappa)} |\Omega(\tilde{n}, \theta(\tilde{n})) - \Omega(\tilde{n}, 0) + \Omega(\tilde{n}, 0)| d\tilde{n} \right) d\tau \right\} \\ &\leq \sup_{\varphi \in [0,1]} \left\{ \int_0^{\varphi} \frac{(\varphi-\tau)^{\kappa-1}}{\Gamma(\kappa)} [|\Omega(\tau, \theta(\tau)) - \Omega(\tau, 0)| + |\Omega(\tau, 0)|] d\tau \right. \\ &+ |\gamma| \varphi^{\kappa-1} \int_0^{\lambda} \frac{(\lambda-\tau)^{\kappa-1}}{\Gamma(\kappa)} [|\Omega(\tau, \theta(\tau)) - \Omega(\tau, 0)| + |\Omega(\tau, 0)|] d\tau \\ &+ \left. |\gamma| \beta \varphi^{\kappa-1} \int_{\mu}^1 \left(\int_0^{\tau} \frac{(\tau-\tilde{n})^{\kappa-1}}{\Gamma(\kappa)} [|\Omega(\tau, \theta(\tau)) - \Omega(\tau, 0)| + |\Omega(\tau, 0)|] d\tilde{n} \right) d\tau \right\} \\ &\leq (Lz + H) \left[\int_0^{\varphi} \frac{(\varphi-\tau)^{\kappa-1}}{\Gamma(\kappa)} d\tau + |\gamma| \varphi^{\kappa-1} \int_0^{\lambda} \frac{(\lambda-\tau)^{\kappa-1}}{\Gamma(\kappa)} d\tau \right. \\ &+ \left. |\gamma| |\beta| \varphi^{\kappa-1} \int_{\mu}^1 \left(\int_0^{\tau} \frac{(\tau-\tilde{n})^{\kappa-1}}{\Gamma(\kappa)} d\tilde{n} \right) d\tau \right] \\ &\leq (Lz + H) \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\kappa+1)} + \frac{|\gamma| \lambda^{\kappa}}{\Gamma(\kappa+1)} + \frac{|\gamma| |\beta| (1-\mu^{\kappa+1})}{\Gamma(\kappa+2)} \right] \\ &\leq (Lz + H) \frac{1}{\Gamma(\kappa+1)} \left[1 + \frac{|\gamma| [\lambda^{\kappa}(\kappa+1) + |\beta|(1-\mu^{\kappa+1})]}{\kappa+1} \right] \\ &\leq (Lz + H) \Delta \leq z \quad \dots(3.2) \end{aligned}$$

Now, for $\theta, \mathcal{G} \in \wp$ and for each $\varphi \in [0,1]$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\mathfrak{N}\theta)(\varphi) - (\mathfrak{N}\mathcal{G})(\varphi)\| &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\kappa)} \int_0^{\varphi} (\varphi-\tau)^{\kappa-1} \|\Omega(\tau, \theta(\tau)) - \Omega(\tau, \mathcal{G}(\tau))\| d\tau \\ &+ \frac{|\gamma| \varphi^{\kappa-1}}{\Gamma(\kappa)} \int_0^{\lambda} (\lambda-\tau)^{\kappa-1} \|\Omega(\tau, \theta(\tau)) - \Omega(\tau, \mathcal{G}(\tau))\| d\tau \\ &+ \frac{|\gamma| |\beta| \varphi^{\kappa-1}}{\Gamma(\kappa)} \int_{\mu}^1 \left(\int_0^{\tau} (\tau-\tilde{n})^{\kappa-1} \|\Omega(\tilde{n}, \theta(\tilde{n})) - \Omega(\tilde{n}, \mathcal{G}(\tilde{n}))\| d\tilde{n} \right) d\tau \\ &\leq L \|\theta - \mathcal{G}\| \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\kappa)} \int_0^{\varphi} (\varphi-\tau)^{\kappa-1} d\tau + \frac{|\gamma| \varphi^{\kappa-1}}{\Gamma(\kappa)} \int_0^{\lambda} (\lambda-\tau)^{\kappa-1} d\tau \right. \\ &+ \left. \frac{|\gamma| |\beta| \varphi^{\kappa-1}}{\Gamma(\kappa)} \int_{\mu}^1 \left(\int_0^{\tau} (\tau-\tilde{n})^{\kappa-1} d\tilde{n} \right) d\tau \right] \\ &\leq \frac{L}{\Gamma(\kappa-1)} \left(1 + \frac{|\gamma| [\lambda^{\kappa}(\kappa-1) + |\beta|(1-\mu^{\kappa-1})]}{\kappa+1} \right) \|\theta - \mathcal{G}\| \quad \text{where} \\ &\leq L\Delta \|\theta - \mathcal{G}\| \quad \dots(3.3) \end{aligned}$$

for Δ shall be provided by (3.1). Note that Δ just one of the issue parameters depends on.

As $L < \frac{1}{\Delta}$, so \mathfrak{N} is a contraction.

Next, we argue that (1.1) solutions exist by the use "fixed point theorem" [9] of Krasnoselskii.

Theorem 3.2 : ("The fixed point theorem of Krasnoselskii").

Let S be a closed convex and not void subset of a "Banach space" Ψ_b . Let A_c, B_c to be the operators

That's it.

(a) $A_c \theta + B_c \mathcal{G} \in S$ whenever $\theta, \mathcal{G} \in S$;

(b) A_c is compact and continuous ;

(c) B_c is a contraction. Then it is available $z \in S$

That's it. $z = \ddot{A}z + \ddot{B}z$;

Theorem 3.3 : Let $\Omega : [0,1] \times \Psi_b \rightarrow \Psi_b$ be a continual common mapping of function limited sub sets of $[0,1] \times \Psi_b$ into comparatively built-in subsets of Ψ_b and assume that

(Z2) $\|\Omega(\varphi, \theta)\| \leq \delta(\varphi)$, for all $(\varphi, \theta) \in [0,1] \times \Psi_b$ and

$\delta \in L^1([0,1], \mathfrak{R}^+)$ and (Z1) holds with

$$\frac{L}{\Gamma(\kappa+1)} \left(\frac{|\gamma| [\lambda^{\kappa}(\kappa+1) + |\beta|(1-\mu^{\kappa+1})]}{\kappa+1} \right) < 1 \quad \dots(3.4)$$

Then the problem (1.1) has at least one solution on $[0,1]$.

Proof: Setting $\sup_{\varphi \in [0,1]} |\delta(\varphi)| = \|\delta\|$, we fix

$$\bar{z} \geq \frac{\|\delta\|}{\Gamma(\kappa+1)} \left(1 + \frac{|\gamma| [\lambda^{\kappa}(\kappa+1) + |\beta|(1-\mu^{\kappa+1})]}{\kappa+1} \right) \quad \dots(3.5)$$

and consider $\ddot{B}_{\bar{z}} = \{\theta \in \wp : \|\theta\| \leq \bar{z}\}$. We define operators

I and J on $\ddot{B}_{\bar{z}}$ as

$$(I\theta)(\varphi) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\kappa)} \int_0^{\varphi} (\varphi-\tau)^{\kappa-1} \Omega(\tau, \theta(\tau)) d\tau \quad \dots(3.6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (J\theta)(\varphi) &= -\frac{\gamma \varphi^{\kappa-1}}{\Gamma(\kappa)} \int_0^{\lambda} (\lambda-\tau)^{\kappa-1} \Omega(\tau, \theta(\tau)) d\tau \\ &+ \frac{\beta \gamma \varphi^{\kappa-1}}{\Gamma(\kappa)} \int_{\mu}^1 \left(\int_0^{\tau} (\tau-\tilde{n})^{\kappa-1} \Omega(\tilde{n}, \theta(\tilde{n})) d\tilde{n} \right) d\tau \end{aligned}$$

For $\theta, \mathcal{G} \in \ddot{B}_{\bar{z}}$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \|I\theta + J\mathcal{G}\| &= \left\| \frac{1}{\Gamma(\kappa)} \int_0^{\varphi} (\varphi-\tau)^{\kappa-1} \Omega(\tau, \theta(\tau)) d\tau \right. \\ &\left. - \frac{\gamma \varphi^{\kappa-1}}{\Gamma(\kappa)} \int_0^{\lambda} (\lambda-\tau)^{\kappa-1} \Omega(\tau, \mathcal{G}(\tau)) d\tau \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{\beta \gamma \varphi^{\kappa-1}}{\Gamma(\kappa)} \int_{\mu}^1 \left(\int_0^{\tau} (\tau-\tilde{n})^{\kappa-1} \Omega(\tilde{n}, \mathcal{G}(\tilde{n})) d\tilde{n} \right) d\tau \right\| \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \frac{\beta \gamma \varphi^{\kappa-1}}{\Gamma(\kappa)} \left\| \int_{\mu}^{\tau} \int_0^{\tau} (\tau - \bar{n})^{\kappa-1} \Omega(\bar{n}, \mathcal{G}(\bar{n})) d\bar{n} \right\| d\tau \left\| \right.$$

$$\leq \frac{\|\delta\|}{\Gamma(\kappa+1)} \left(1 + \frac{|\gamma| \left[\lambda^{\kappa} (\kappa+1) + |\beta| (1 - \mu^{(\kappa+1)}) \right]}{\kappa+1} \right) \leq \bar{z} \quad \dots (3.7)$$

Hence $I\theta + J\mathcal{G} \in \ddot{B}_{\bar{z}}$ so J is contraction mapping by (Z1) together with (3.4). Continuity of Ω means that the operator I is continuous. Also is uniformly bounded on $B_{\bar{z}}$ as

$$\|I\theta\| \leq \frac{\|\delta\|}{\Gamma(\kappa+1)} \quad \dots (3.8)$$

We verify next the compactness of I .

By using (Z1) we know $\sup_{(\varphi, \theta) \in [0,1] \times B_{\bar{z}}} |\Omega(\varphi, \theta)| = M < \infty$

then we have

$$\|I\theta(\varphi_2) - I\theta(\varphi_1)\| = \left\| \frac{1}{\Gamma(\kappa)} \left\{ \int_0^{\varphi_2} [(\varphi_2 - \tau)^{\kappa-1} - (\varphi_1 - \tau)^{\kappa-1}] \Omega(\tau, \theta(\tau)) d\tau + \int_{\varphi_1}^{\varphi_2} (\varphi_2 - \tau)^{\kappa-1} \Omega(\tau, \theta(\tau)) d\tau \right\} \right\| \leq \frac{M}{\Gamma(\kappa+1)} \left| 2(\varphi_2 - \varphi_1)^{\kappa} + (\varphi_1^{\kappa} - \varphi_2^{\kappa}) \right| \dots (3.9)$$

That is unrelated to θ . So I is relatively compact on $B_{\bar{z}}$.

Hence, by "Arzela – Ascoli's" theorem, I is compact on $B_{\bar{z}}$. So all the assumptions of theorem 3.2. are satisfied, which implies that the boundary problem (1.1) has at least one solution on $[0,1]$.

References

- [1] A. A. Kilbas; H. M. Srivastavu, and J. J. Trujillo. 2006. Theory and Applications Fraction Differential Equations, Vol. 204 of North- Holland Mathematics studies, Elsevier, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
- [2] Abdelouahab Ardjouni. 2021. Existence and uniqueness of positive solutions for nonlinear Caputo Hadamard fractional differential equations, Proyecciones, Journal of mathematics, Vol. 40, No. 1, pp.139-152.
- [3] Bashir Ahmad et al. 2013, A new class of fractional boundary problems, Advances in Difference equations, 373, pp. 1-8.
- [4] Bashir A, Sotiris K. Ntouyas, and Ahmed Alsaedi. 2011, New Existence Results for Nonlinear Fractional Differential Equations with Three-point Integral Boundary Conditions, Advance in Difference Equations, Vol. 2011, pp. 1-11.
- [5] F. Mainardi. 1996, The fundament solutions for the Fractional diffusion-wave equation, Applied Mathematics letters, Vol. 9, NO. 6.

- [6] I. Podlubny. 1999, Fractional differential equations. An introduction to fractional derivatives, Fractional differential equations, to methods of their solution and some of their applications Mathematics in Science and Engineering 198. Academic, San Diego, CA.
- [7] Mohammed A. M. Mohammed S. Abdo, and Satish K. Panchal. 2019, Positive Solution of Hilfer Fractional differential equations with Integral Boundary Conditions [mth. GM].
- [8] Mohammed S. Abdo, Hanan A. Wahash, Satish K. Pancha. 2018, Positive Solution of a Fractional differential equation with Integral Boundary Conditions. Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computational Mechanics, 17(3), 5-15.
- [9] S. G. Samko, A. A. Kilbas, and O. I. Marichev, 1993, Fractional Integrals and Derivatives, Theory and Applications, Gordon and Breach Science, Yverdon, Switzerland.
- [10] Tao Zhu, 2019, Existence and uniqueness of positive solutions for fractional differential equations. Boundary Value Problems, Spring Open Journal 2019:22, pp. 1-11.
- [11] Vasily E. Tarasov. 2016, Local Fractional Derivatives of Differentiable Functions are Integer-order Derivatives or Zero, Vol.2, No.2, pp.195-201.
- [12] Zhanbing Bai, 2010, on Positive Solutions of a Nonlocal Fractional Boundary Problem. Nonlinear Analysis 72(2010), 916-924.
- [13] Z. Y. Zhu, G. G. Li and C. J. Cheng, 2002, Quasi-static and Dynamical Analysis for Viscoelastic Timoshenko beam with Fractional Derivative Constitutive Relation, Applied Mathematics and Mechanics, Vol. 23, No. 1.

الحل الموجب لصنف جديد من المعادلات الكسرية الغير محلية ذات شروط حدودية تكاملية

نورا ليث حسين عمر اغا

nooralraith1984@uomosul.edu.iq

قسم الرياضيات، كلية التربية للعلوم الصرفة
جامعة الموصل، الموصل، العراق

تاريخ قبول البحث: 2021/8/30

تاريخ استلام البحث: 2021/6/21

الخلاصة:

في هذا البحث تم اثبات وجود ووحداية الحل الموجب لمسألة القيم الحدودية λ حيث ترتبط الشروط الحدودية المقترحة هنا بين قيمة الدالة الغير معرفة والقيم اللامحلية وتأثيرها عند $0 < \lambda < \mu < 1$ و (μ, λ) والتي ساعدتنا بعض النظريات الأساسية للنقطة الثابتة في تحقيق افضل النتائج. 'Banach and Krasnosilskii' تم الحصول على نتائجنا باستخدام ميرهننتي **الكلمات المفتاحية:** المعادلات التفاضلية الكسرية- الحل الموجب- الشروط الحدودية الغير محلية- نظرية النقطة الثابتة.

