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ABSTRACT

The aim of this paper is to study the existence, uniqueness and other properties of solutions of certain Volterra-Fredholm integral and integro differential equations. The tools employed in the analysis are based on the applications of the Banach fixed point theorem coupled with Bielecki type norm and certain integral inequalities with explicit estimates.
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I. Introduction

Consider the nonlinear Volterra-Fredholm integral and integro differential equations of the form.

\[ x(t) = f(t,x(t),\int_{a}^{b} k(t,\sigma,x(\sigma))d\sigma) + \int_{a}^{b} h(t,\sigma,x(\sigma))d\sigma), \quad ...(1.1) \]

and

\[ x'(t) = f(t,x(t),\int_{a}^{b} k(t,\sigma,x(\sigma))d\sigma) + \int_{a}^{b} h(t,\sigma,x(\sigma))d\sigma), \quad ...(1.2) \]

for \(-\infty < a \leq t \leq b < +\infty\), where \(x, f, k, h\) are real vectors with \(n\) components and \(\cdot\) denotes the derivative.

Let \(R^n\) denotes the real \(n\)-dimensional Euclidean space with appropriate norm denoted by \(|\cdot|\) and \(R\) the set of real numbers. Let \(I = [a, +\infty)\), \(R_+ = [0, +\infty)\), be the given subset of \(R\) and assume that \(k, h \in c(I^2 \times R^n, R^n)\) for \(a \leq s \leq t \leq b < +\infty\), \(f \in c(I \times R^n \times R^n \times R^n, R^n)\).
Integral and integro differential equations arise in a variety of applications their study is of great interest. Many authors studied the equations of the forms (1.1) and (1.2) and their special and general versions with different viewpoints (see [1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 8]). The purpose of this paper is to study the existence, uniqueness and other properties of solutions of equations (1.1) and (1.2) under various assumptions on the functions f, k and h. The main tools employed in the analysis are based on the application the Banach fixed point theorem, coupled with Bielecke type norm and the integral inequalities with explicit estimates given in [6].

2. Existence and Uniqueness

We first construct the appropriate metric space for our analysis [4]. Let $\beta > 0$ be a constant and consider the space of continuous functions $c(I, R^n)$ such that $\sup|\bar{x}(t)|/e^{\beta(t-a)} < \infty$, and denote this special space by $c_{\beta}(I, R^n)$. We couple the linear space $c_{\beta}(I, R^n)$ with suitable metric, $d_{\beta}^x(x, y) = \sup_{t \in I} \frac{|x(t) - y(t)|}{e^{\beta(t-a)}}$ and a norm defined by $\|x\|_{\beta}^x = \sup_{t \in I} \frac{|x(t)|}{e^{\beta(t-a)}}$.

The above definitions of $d_{\beta}^x$ and $\|x\|_{\beta}^x$ are variants of Bieleckis metric and norm [6].

Lemma (2.1): If $\beta > 0$ is a constant, then:

i) $d_{\beta}^x$ is a metric space,

ii) $\|x\|_{\beta}^x$ is a norm,

iii) $(c_{\beta}(I, R^n), \|x\|_{\beta}^x)$ is a Banach space,

iv) $(c_{\beta}(I, R^n), d_{\beta}^x)$ is a complete metric space.

Our main results concerning the existence and uniqueness of solutions of equations (1.1) and (1.2) are given in the following theorems.

Theorem (2.1):

Let $L, N > 0, M \geq 0, \beta > 0, \gamma > 1$ be constants with $\beta = (L + N^\gamma)\gamma$, suppose that the functions f, k, h in equation (1.1) satisfy the conditions

$$|f(t, u, v, w) - f(t, \bar{u}, \bar{v}, \bar{w})| \leq M|u - \bar{u}| + |v - \bar{v}| + |w - \bar{w}|$$

$$|k(t, s, u) - k(t, s, \bar{u})| \leq L|u - \bar{u}|$$

$$|h(t, s, v) - h(t, s, \bar{v})| \leq N|v - \bar{v}|$$

and

$$d_1 = \sup_{t \in I} \frac{1}{e^{\beta(t-a)}} \left| \int_a^b f(t, 0, \int_a^\sigma k(t, \sigma, 0)d\sigma, \int_a^\sigma h(t, \sigma, 0)d\sigma \right| < \infty$$
If \( M (1 + \frac{1}{\gamma}) < 1 \), then the integral equation (1.1) has a unique solution \( x \in C_{\beta}(I, R^n) \).

**Proof:**

Consider the following equivalent formulation of equation (1.1),

\[
x(t) = f(t, x(t), \int_a^t k(t, \sigma, x(\sigma))d\sigma, \int_a^b h(t, \sigma, x(\sigma))d\sigma) - \]
\[
- f(t, 0, \int_a^t k(t, \sigma, 0)d\sigma, \int_a^b h(t, \sigma, 0)d\sigma) + f(t, 0, \int_a^t k(t, \sigma, 0)d\sigma, \int_a^b h(t, \sigma, 0)d\sigma)
\]

(2.3)

For \( t \in I \), we will show that (2.3) has a unique solution and thus equation (1.1) must also have a unique solution.

Let \( x \in C_{\beta}(I, R^n) \) and define the operator \( T \) by

\[
(Tx)(t) = f(t, x(t), \int_a^t k(t, \sigma, x(\sigma))d\sigma, \int_a^b h(t, \sigma, x(\sigma))d\sigma) - \]
\[
- f(t, 0, \int_a^t k(t, \sigma, 0)d\sigma, \int_a^b h(t, \sigma, 0)d\sigma) + f(t, 0, \int_a^t k(t, \sigma, 0)d\sigma, \int_a^b h(t, \sigma, 0)d\sigma)
\]

(2.4)

Now, we shall show that \( T \) maps \( C_{\beta}(I, R^n) \) into itself. From (2.4) and using the hypotheses, we have

\[
|Tx|_\beta = \sup_{t \in I} \frac{|(Tx)(t)|}{e^{\beta(t-a)}} \leq \sup_{t \in I} \frac{1}{e^{\beta(t-a)}} \left| f(t, x(t), \int_a^t k(t, \sigma, x(\sigma))d\sigma, \int_a^b h(t, \sigma, x(\sigma))d\sigma) - \right|
\]
\[
- f(t, 0, \int_a^t k(t, \sigma, 0)d\sigma, \int_a^b h(t, \sigma, 0)d\sigma) + \right|
\]
\[
+ \sup_{t \in I} \frac{1}{e^{\beta(t-a)}} \left| f(t, 0, \int_a^t k(t, \sigma, 0)d\sigma, \int_a^b h(t, \sigma, 0)d\sigma) \right|
\]
\[
\leq d_1 + \sup_{t \in I} \frac{1}{e^{\beta(t-a)}} M \left\{ |x(t)| + \int_a^t L|x(\sigma)|d\sigma + \int_a^b N|x(\sigma)|d\sigma \right\}
\]
\[
= d_1 + M \left\{ \sup_{t \in I} \frac{x(t)}{e^{\beta(t-a)}} + L \sup_{t \in I} \frac{1}{e^{\beta(t-a)}} \int_a^t \frac{|x(\sigma)|}{e^{\beta(t-a)}} d\sigma + \right\}
\]
\[
N \sup_{t \in I} \frac{1}{e^{\beta(t-a)}} \int_a^b \frac{|x(\sigma)|}{e^{\beta(t-a)}} d\sigma \}
\]
\[ \leq d_1 + M \left\{ \|x\|_\beta + L \|x\|_\beta \sup_{t \in I} \frac{1}{e^{\beta(t-a)}} \int_a^t e^{\beta(\sigma-a)} d\sigma + \right. \\
+ N \left\{ \sup_{t \in I} \frac{1}{e^{\beta(t-a)}} \int_a^b e^{\beta(\sigma-a)} d\sigma \right\} \\
= d_1 + M \left\{ 1 + L \sup_{t \in I} \frac{1}{e^{\beta(t-a)}} \left( \frac{e^{\beta(t-a)} - 1}{\beta} \right) + N \sup_{t \in I} \frac{1}{e^{\beta(t-a)}} \left( \frac{e^{\beta(b-a)} - 1}{\beta} \right) \right\} \\
\leq d_1 + M \left\{ 1 + L \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\beta} \right)^* \right\} \text{ where } N^* = N \left( 1 - \frac{1}{e^{\beta(b-a)}} \right) \\
= d_1 + M \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \right\} < \infty \\
\] 

This proves that the operator T maps \( C_\beta(I, R^*) \) into itself. Now, we verify that the operator T is a contraction map.

Let \( u, v \in C_\beta(I, R^*) \). From (2.4) and using the hypotheses we have

\[ d_\beta^\infty(Tu, Tv) = \sup_{t \in I} \left| (Tu)(t) - (Tv)(t) \right| \\
= \sup_{t \in I} \frac{1}{e^{\beta(t-a)}} \left| f(t, u(t), \int_a^t k(t, \sigma, u(\sigma)) d\sigma, \int_a^b h(t, \sigma, u(\sigma)) d\sigma) - \\
- f(t, v(t), \int_a^t k(t, \sigma, v(\sigma)) d\sigma, \int_a^b h(t, \sigma, v(\sigma)) d\sigma) \right| \\
\leq \sup_{t \in I} \frac{1}{e^{\beta(t-a)}} M \left\{ |u(t) - v(t)| + \int_a^t L |u(\sigma) - v(\sigma)| d\sigma + N |u(\sigma) - v(\sigma)| d\sigma \right\} \\
= M \left\{ \sup_{t \in I} \frac{|u(t) - v(t)|}{e^{\beta(t-a)}} + \sup_{t \in I} \frac{1}{e^{\beta(t-a)}} L \int_a^t \frac{|u(\sigma) - v(\sigma)|}{e^{\beta(\sigma-a)}} d\sigma + \\
+ \sup_{t \in I} \frac{1}{e^{\beta(t-a)}} N \int_a^b \frac{|u(\sigma) - v(\sigma)|}{e^{\beta(\sigma-a)}} d\sigma \right\} \\
\leq M \left\{ d_\beta^\infty(u, v) + L d_\beta^\infty(u, v) \sup_{t \in I} \frac{1}{e^{\beta(t-a)}} \int_a^t e^{\beta(\sigma-a)} d\sigma + \\
+ N d_\beta^\infty(u, v) \right\} \\
= M d_\beta^\infty(u, v) \left\{ 1 + L \sup_{t \in I} \frac{1}{e^{\beta(t-a)}} \left( \frac{e^{\beta(t-a)} - 1}{\beta} \right) + N \sup_{t \in I} \frac{1}{e^{\beta(t-a)}} \left( \frac{e^{\beta(b-a)} - 1}{\beta} \right) \right\} \\
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
\leq Md_{\mu}^{\mu}(u,v) & \left\{ 1 + L\sup_{t \in I} \frac{1}{e^{\beta(t-a)}} \left( e^{\beta(t-a)} - 1 \right) + N \frac{1}{e^{\beta(b-a)}} \left( e^{\beta(b-a)} - 1 \right) \right\} \\
& = Md_{\mu}^{\mu}(u,v) \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\beta} \left( L + N^* \right) \right\} \text{ where } N^* = (N - \frac{1}{e^{\beta(b-a)}}) \\
& = M \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \right) d_{\mu}^{\mu}(u,v)
\end{align*}
\]

Since, \( M \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \right) < 1 \), it follows from the Banach fixed point theorem see[4] that \( T \) has a unique fixed point in \( C_{\beta}(I, \mathbb{R}^n) \). The fixed point of \( T \) is, however, a solution of equation (1.1).

**Theorem (2.2):**

Let \( L, N, \beta, M, \gamma \) be as in theorem (2.1). Suppose that the functions \( f, k, h \) in equation (1.2) satisfy the conditions (2.1), (2.2) and

\[
d_2 = \sup_{t \in I} \frac{1}{e^{\beta(t-a)}} \left| x_0 + \int_a^t f(s,0) \int_a^s k(s,\sigma,0) d\sigma, \int_a^b h(s,\sigma,0) d\sigma \right| ds < \infty
\]

If \( M \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \right) < 1 \), then the integro differential equation (1.2) has a unique solution \( x \in C_{\beta}(I, \mathbb{R}^n) \).

**Proof:**

Let \( x \in C_{\beta}(I, \mathbb{R}^n) \), and define the operator \( S \) by

\[
(sx)(t) = x_0 + \int_a^t f(s,x(s)) \int_a^s k(s,\sigma,x(\sigma)) d\sigma, \int_a^b h(s,\sigma,x(\sigma)) d\sigma ds - \\
- \int_a^t f(s,0) \int_a^s k(s,\sigma,0) d\sigma, \int_a^b h(s,\sigma,0) d\sigma ds + \int_a^t f(s,0) \int_a^s k(s,\sigma,0) d\sigma, \int_a^b h(s,\sigma,0) d\sigma ds,
\]

for \( t \in I \), the proof that \( S \) maps \( C_{\beta}(I, \mathbb{R}^n) \) into itself and is a contraction map, can be completed by closely looking at the proof of theorem (2.1) given above with suitable modifications. Here, we omit the details.

**3. Estimates on the Solutions**

In this section, we obtain estimates of solutions of equations (1.1) and (1.2) under some suitable assumptions for the functions involved in them.

**Lemma (3.1):**

Let \( u(t) \in C(I, \mathbb{R}_+), r(t,s), l(t,s), \frac{\partial}{\partial t} r(t,s), \frac{\partial}{\partial t} l(t,s) \in C(I^2, \mathbb{R}_+) \) be non decreasing in \( t \in I \).

If

\[
u(t) \leq c + \int_a^t r(t,s)u(s) ds + \int_a^b l(t,s)u(s) ds \quad \ldots(3.1)
\]

For \( t \in I \) where \( c \geq 0 \) is a constant, then
\[ u(t) \leq B \exp \left( \int_{a}^{t} g(s) \, ds \right) \tag{3.2} \]

For \( t \in I \), where
\[ g(t) = r(t,t) + \int_{a}^{t} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} r(t,s) \, ds + \int_{a}^{b} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} l(t,s) \, ds \tag{3.3} \]

and
\[ B = c + \int_{a}^{b} l(a,s) u(s) \, ds \tag{3.4} \]

**Proof:** Define a function \( w(t) \) be the right hand side of (3.1). Then, \( w(t) \geq 0 \), \( w(a) = B \).

\[ u(t) \leq w(t) \text{, } w(t) \text{ is non decreasing in } t \text{ and} \]
\[ w'(t) = r(t,t) u(t) + \int_{a}^{t} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} r(t,s) u(s) \, ds + \int_{a}^{b} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} l(t,s) u(s) \, ds \]
\[ \leq (r(t,t) + \int_{a}^{t} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} r(t,s) \, ds + \int_{a}^{b} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} l(t,s) \, ds) u(s) \]

Let \( g(t) = r(t,t) + \int_{a}^{t} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} r(t,s) \, ds + \int_{a}^{b} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} l(t,s) \, ds \)
\[ w'(t) \leq g(t) u(t) \leq g(t) w(t) \tag{3.5} \]

The inequality (3.5) implies the estimate
\[ w(t) \leq B \exp \left( \int_{a}^{t} g(s) \, ds \right) \tag{3.6} \]

Using (3.6) in \( u(t) \leq w(t) \) we get the required inequality (3.2).

**Lemma (3.2):** Let \( u(t), p(t) \in C(I, R_{+}) \), \( r(t,s), l(t,s), \frac{\partial}{\partial t} r(t,s), \frac{\partial}{\partial t} l(t,s) \in C(I^{2}, R_{+}) \) be non decreasing in \( t \in I \). If
\[ u(t) \leq c + \int_{a}^{t} p(s) [u(s) + \int_{a}^{s} r(s,\sigma) u(\sigma) \, d\sigma + \int_{a}^{b} l(s,\sigma) u(\sigma) \, d\sigma] \tag{3.7} \]

For \( t \in I \) where \( c \geq 0 \) is a constant, then
\[ u(t) \leq B[1 + \int_{a}^{t} p(s) \exp \left( \int_{a}^{s} [p(\sigma) + g(\sigma)] d\sigma \right) ds] \tag{3.8} \]

For \( t \in I \), where \( g(t) \) and \( B \) is as in Lemma (3.1).

**Proof:** Define a function \( w(t) \) to be on the right hand side of (3.7). Then, \( w(t) \geq 0 \), \( w(a) = B \). \( u(t) \leq w(t) \), and \( w(t) \) are non decreasing in \( t \). Then,
\[ w(t) = c + \int_{a}^{t} p(s) \left[ u(s) + \int_{a}^{s} r(s,\sigma) u(\sigma) \, d\sigma + \int_{a}^{b} l(s,\sigma) u(\sigma) \, d\sigma \right] ds \]

and
\[ w'(t) = p(t) \left\{ u(t) + \int_{a}^{t} r(t,\sigma) u(\sigma) \, d\sigma + \int_{a}^{b} l(t,\sigma) u(\sigma) \, d\sigma \right\} \]
Suppose that the functions $\sigma(t)$ satisfies the equivalent equation (1.1) and

$$v(t) = u(t) + \int_a^t r(t, \sigma)u(\sigma)d\sigma + \int_a^t f(t, \sigma)u(\sigma)d\sigma$$

Then,

$$w'(t) \leq p(t)v(t)$$

$$v'(t) = u'(t) + r(t, t)u(t) + \int_a^t \frac{\partial r(t, \sigma)}{\partial t} u(\sigma)d\sigma + \int_a^t \frac{\partial h(t, \sigma)}{\partial t} u(\sigma)d\sigma$$

$$v'(t) \leq p(t)v(t) + v(t)r(t, t) + \int_a^t \frac{\partial r(t, \sigma)}{\partial t} u(\sigma)d\sigma + \int_a^t \frac{\partial h(t, \sigma)}{\partial t} u(\sigma)d\sigma$$

$$v'(t) \leq p(t)v(t) + g(t)v(t) \quad \text{(3.9)}$$

The inequality (3.9) implies the estimate

$$v(t) \leq B\exp\left(\int_a^t p(s) + g(s)ds\right) \quad \text{(3.10)}$$

Using (3.10) in $u(t) \leq v(t)$, we get the required inequality (3.8).

First, we give the following theorem concerning the estimate on the solution of equation (1.1).

**Theorem (3.1):** Suppose that the functions $f, k, h$ in equation (1.1) satisfy the conditions

$$|f(t, u, v, w) - f(t, \bar{u}, \bar{v}, \bar{w})| \leq A|u - \bar{u}| + |v - \bar{v}| + |w - \bar{w}| \quad \text{(3.11)}$$

$$|k(t, \sigma, u) - k(t, \sigma, v)| \leq r(t, \sigma)|u - v| \quad \text{(3.12)}$$

$$|h(t, \sigma, u) - h(t, \sigma, v)| \leq l(t, \sigma)|u - v|$$

Where, $0 \leq A < 1$ is a constant and $r(t, \sigma), l(t, \sigma), \frac{\partial}{\partial t} r(t, \sigma), \frac{\partial}{\partial t} l(t, \sigma) \in C(I^2, R_+)$. Let

$$c_1 = \sup_{t \in I} |f(t, 0, k(t, \sigma, 0))d\sigma| < \infty.$$ If $x(t), t \in I$ is any solution of equation (1.1), then

$$|x(t)| \leq \left(\frac{E_1}{1 - A}\right) \exp\left(\int_a^t q(s)ds\right) \quad \text{(3.13)}$$

for $t \in I$, where $E_1 = c_1 + \int_a^b l(a, s)u(s)ds$ and

$$q(t) = \frac{A}{1 - A} g(t) \quad \text{(3.14)}$$

in which g(t) is as defined in Lemma (3.1).

**Proof:** By using the fact that the solution $x(t)$ of equation (1.1) satisfies the equivalent equation (2.3) and the hypotheses we have

$$|x(t)| \leq \left|f(t, 0, k(t, \sigma, 0))d\sigma\right| + \left|h(t, \sigma, 0)d\sigma\right|$$

$$+ \left|f(t, x(t), k(t, \sigma, x(t))d\sigma\right| + \left|h(t, \sigma, x(t))d\sigma\right| - \left|f(t, 0, k(t, \sigma, 0))d\sigma\right| - \left|h(t, \sigma, 0)d\sigma\right|$$
\[ \leq c_1 + A \left\{ |x(t)| + \int_a^t r(t, \sigma)|x(t)|d\sigma + \int_a^b I(t, \sigma)|x(t)|d\sigma \right\} \]  

... (3.15)

From (3.15) and using the assumptions \( 0 \leq A < 1 \), we observe that

\[ |x(t)| \leq c_1 + \frac{A}{1 - A} \int_a^t r(t, \sigma)|x(t)|d\sigma + \frac{A}{1 - A} \int_a^b I(t, \sigma)|x(t)|d\sigma \]  

... (3.16)

Now, an application of Lemma (3.1) to (3.16) yields (3.13).

Next, we shall obtain the estimate on the solution of equation (1.2).

**Theorem (3.2)**

Suppose that the function \( f \) in equation (1.2) satisfies the condition

\[ |f(t, u, v, w) - f(t, \bar{u}, \bar{v}, \bar{w})| \leq p(t)|u - \bar{u}| + |v - \bar{v}| + |w - \bar{w}| \]  

... (3.17)

Where \( p \in C(I, \mathbb{R}_+) \) and the functions of \( k, h \) in equation (1.2) satisfy the conditions (3.12). Let

\[ c_2 = \sup_{t \in I} \int_a^t f(s, 0, \int_a^s k(s, \sigma, 0)d\sigma, \int_a^s h(s, \sigma, 0)d\sigma)ds < \infty \]

If \( x(t), t \in I \), is any solution of equation (1.2), then

\[ |x(t)| \leq E_2 \left\{ 1 + \int_a^t p(s)\exp\left\{ \int_a^s p(\sigma) + g(\sigma)d\sigma \right\}ds \right\} \]  

... (3.18)

For \( t \in I \), where \( E_2 = c_2 + \int_a^b l(a, s)u(s)ds \) and \( g(t) \) is as defined in Lemma (3.1).

**Proof:**

Using the fact that \( x(t) \) is a solution of equation (1.2) and that the hypotheses we have

\[ |x(t)| \leq \left| x_o \right| + \int_a^t f(s, 0, \int_a^s k(s, \sigma, 0)d\sigma, \int_a^s h(s, \sigma, 0)d\sigma)ds + \right| \]

\[ + \int_a^t \left| f(s, x(s), \int_a^s k(s, \sigma, x(\sigma))d\sigma, \int_a^s h(s, \sigma, x(\sigma))d\sigma) \right| ds \]

\[ - \left| f(s, 0, \int_a^s k(s, \sigma, 0)d\sigma, \int_a^s h(s, \sigma, 0)d\sigma) \right| ds \]

\[ \leq c_2 + \int_a^t \left| p(s) \right| \left| x(s) \right| + \int_a^t \left| r(s, \sigma) \right| x(\sigma)d\sigma + \int_a^b \left| l(s, \sigma) \right| x(\sigma)d\sigma \right| ds \]  

... (3.19)

Now, an application of Lemma (3.2) to (3.19) yields (3.18).

**4. Continuous Dependence**

In this section, we deal with the continuous dependence of solutions of equations (1.1) and (1.2) for functions involved in them. Consider the equations (1.1) and (1.2) and the corresponding equations

\[ y(t) = \tilde{f}(t, y(t), \int_a^t \tilde{k}(t, \sigma, y(\sigma))d\sigma, \int_a^t \tilde{h}(t, \sigma, y(\sigma))d\sigma), \]  

... (4.1)

and
y'(t) = \tilde{f}(t, y(t)), \int_a^t k(t, \sigma, y(\sigma))d\sigma, \int_a^b h(t, \sigma, y(\sigma))d\sigma),
\hspace{1cm} (4.2)

y(a) = y_o

For \( t \in I \), where \( \tilde{k}, \tilde{h} \in C(I^2 \times R^n, R^n) \) for
\( a \leq s \leq t \leq b < \infty \), where \( \tilde{f} \in C(I \times R^n \times R^n, R^n) \).
The following theorems deal with the continuous dependence of solutions of equations
(1.1) and (1.2) for functions involved in them.

**Theorem (4.1)**
Suppose that the functions \( f, k, h \) in equation (1.1) satisfy the conditions (3.11) and
(3.12). Furthermore, suppose that
\[
|f(t, y(t), \int_a^t k(t, \sigma, y(\sigma))d\sigma, \int_a^b h(t, \sigma, y(\sigma))d\sigma) - \\
\tilde{f}(t, y(t), \int_a^t \tilde{k}(t, \sigma, y(\sigma))d\sigma, \int_a^b \tilde{h}(t, \sigma, y(\sigma))d\sigma)| \leq \varepsilon_1
\]

where \( f, k, h \) and \( \tilde{f}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{h} \) are the functions involved in equation (1.1) and (4.1), \( \varepsilon_1 > 0 \)
is an arbitrary small constant and \( y(t) \) is a solution of equation (4.1). Then, the solution
\( x(t), t \in I \) of equation (1.1) depends continuously on the functions involved on the
right hand side of equation (1.1).

**Proof:**
Let \( u(t) = |x(t) - y(t)|, t \in I \), using the facts that \( x(t) \) and \( y(t) \) are the solutions
of equations (1.1) and (4.1) and the hypotheses we have
\[
u(t) \leq |f(t, x(t), \int_a^t k(t, \sigma, x(\sigma))d\sigma, \int_a^b h(t, \sigma, x(\sigma))d\sigma) - \\
f(t, y(t), \int_a^t k(t, \sigma, y(\sigma))d\sigma, \int_a^b h(t, \sigma, y(\sigma))d\sigma)| + \\
+ |f(t, y(t), \int_a^t k(t, \sigma, y(\sigma))d\sigma, \int_a^b h(t, \sigma, y(\sigma))d\sigma) - \\
\tilde{f}(t, y(t), \int_a^t \tilde{k}(t, \sigma, y(\sigma))d\sigma, \int_a^b \tilde{h}(t, \sigma, y(\sigma))d\sigma)|
\]
\[
u(t) \leq \varepsilon_1 + A \left\{ u(t) + \int_a^t r(t, \sigma)u(\sigma)d\sigma + \int_a^b l(t, \sigma)u(\sigma)d\sigma \right\}
\hspace{1cm} (4.3)
\]
From (4.3) and using the assumption that \( 0 \leq A < 1 \), we observe that
\[
u(t) \leq \frac{\varepsilon_1}{1-A} + \frac{A}{1-A} \int_a^t r(t, \sigma)u(\sigma)d\sigma + \frac{A}{1-A} \int_a^b l(t, \sigma)u(\sigma)d\sigma
\hspace{1cm} (4.4)
\]
Now, an application of Lemma (3.1) to (4.4) yields
\[
|x(t) - y(t)| \leq \left( \frac{E_1}{1-A} \right) \exp \left( \int_a^t q(s)ds \right)
\hspace{1cm} (4.5)
\]
Where $q(t)$ is defined by (3.14) and $E_1 = \varepsilon_1 + \int_a^b l(a, s)u(s)ds$. From (4.5) it follows that the solution of equation (1.1) depends continuously on the functions involved on the right hand side of equation (1.1).

**Theorem (4.2)**

Suppose that the functions $f, k$ and $h$ in equation (1.2) satisfy the conditions (3.17) and (3.12). Suppose that

$$
|x_0 - y_0| + \int_a^t |f(s, y(s), \int_a^s k(s, \sigma, y(\sigma))d\sigma, \int_a^s h(s, \sigma, y(\sigma))d\sigma) -
- \tilde{f}(s, y(s), \int_a^s \tilde{k}(s, \sigma, y(\sigma))d\sigma, \int_a^s \tilde{h}(s, \sigma, y(\sigma))d\sigma)|ds \leq \varepsilon_2
$$

Where $f, k, h$ and $\tilde{f}, \tilde{k}, \tilde{h}$ are the functions involved in equation (1.2) and (4.2), $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ is an arbitrary small constant and $y(t)$ is a solution of equation (4.2). Then the solution $x(t), t \in I$ of equation (1.2) depends continuously on the functions involved on the right hand side of equation (1.2).

**Proof:**

Let $u(t) = |x(t) - y(t)|, t \in I$, using the facts that $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ are the solutions of equations (1.2) and (4.2) and the hypotheses we have

$$
u(t) \leq |x_0 - y_0| + \int_a^t |f(s, y(s), \int_a^s k(s, \sigma, y(\sigma))d\sigma, \int_a^s h(s, \sigma, y(\sigma))d\sigma) -
- \tilde{f}(s, y(s), \int_a^s \tilde{k}(s, \sigma, y(\sigma))d\sigma, \int_a^s \tilde{h}(s, \sigma, y(\sigma))d\sigma)|ds +
+ \int_a^t |f(s, y(s), \int_a^s k(s, \sigma, y(\sigma))d\sigma, \int_a^s h(s, \sigma, y(\sigma))d\sigma) -
- \tilde{f}(s, y(s), \int_a^s \tilde{k}(s, \sigma, y(\sigma))d\sigma, \int_a^s \tilde{h}(s, \sigma, y(\sigma))d\sigma)|ds
\leq \varepsilon_2 + \int_a^t |p(s)u(s) + \int_a^s r(s, \sigma)u(\sigma)d\sigma + \int_a^s l(s, \sigma)u(\sigma)d\sigma|ds \quad \ldots(4.6)
$$

Now, an application of Lemma (3.2) to (4.6) yields

$$
|x(t) - y(t)| \leq E_4 \left\{ 1 + \int_a^t |p(s)\exp(\int_a^s |p(\sigma) + g(\sigma)|d\sigma)ds \right\} \quad \ldots(4.7)
$$

For $t \in I$, where $E_4 = \varepsilon_2 + \int_a^b l(a, s)u(s)ds$ and $g(t)$ is defined in Lemma (3.1). From (4.7), it follows that the solution of equation (1.2) depends continuously on the functions involved on the right hand side of equation (1.2).
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